



Patent and Trademark Offic

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

					ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			
09/276,868	03/26/99	SIMONS		M 	BIS-043
Γ		HM12/0330	コ	MOEZIE:	F.
DAVID PRASHKER PC PO BOX 5387 MAGNOLIA MA 01930				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•				DATE MAILED	03/30/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

proceeding.



Office Action Summary

Application No.

Applicant(s) 09/276,868

Simoms

Examiner

F. T. Moezie

Group Art Unit 1653

X Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>3/26/99 and 7</u>	7/26/99			
This action is FINAL .				
Since this application is in condition for allowance except in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1	for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed 935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.			
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is seen is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Fails application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extending CFR 1.136(a).	et to expirethree month(s), or thirty days, whichever ure to respond within the period for response will cause the ensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of			
Disposition of Claims				
	is/are pending in the application.			
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
☐ Claim(s)				
	is/are rejected.			
☐ Claim(s)	is/are objected to.			
Claims are subject to restriction or election requirement				
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drag The drawing(s) filed on is/are ob The proposed drawing correction, filed on The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority and all Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies	rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).			
received.				
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial				
received in this national stage application from	the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
*Certified copies not received: Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic pr	iority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).			
Attachment(s)				
✓ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper	or No(e)			
intormation disclosure statement(s), 1 10 1445, 1 upo				
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413				
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO	0-948			

Serial Number: 09/276,868 Page 2

Art Unit: 1653

DETAILED ACTION

CLAIMS STATUS

Claims 1-14 are pending in this application.

OBJECTION - SPECIFICATION

The specification is found objectionable regarding the content of pages 12, Flow Scheme

A; page 12, Table 3 and page 27, table 4. The first two pages have to be included and submitted

as drawings. The content of table 4 appears to be out of context and does not belong within the

pages of the specification. Applicant has already submitted SEQ ID NOS for the sequences in

the specification and they have been approved by the Office.

CLAIMS REJECTION - 35 USC 112, FIRST AND SECOND PARAGRAPHS

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and

process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to

make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of

carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject

matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one

skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession

of the claimed invention. The terminology cited at parts a), b) and c) of claims 1 and 2, i.e., "x7

Serial Number: 09/276,868 Page 3

Art Unit: 1653

subunit of the proteasomes", "interacting \$\psi\$7 subunit becomes selectively altered" and " the selectively altered --- collection of viable cells"; the introduction means of claims 5 and 6, I. e., "receptor-specific peptide introduction means" and "the DNA sequences coding for PR-39 oligopeptides of different sizes inserted in a suitable vector for transection and subsequent expression of peptides within said cells" and in claims 9 and 10 "wherein degradation of ---." have not been disclosed in the specification. Therefore, the specification is not fully descriptive in this regard.

Claims 11-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

The use for the truncated PR-39 has not been shown. According to the US patent to Gallo et al the necessary number of amino acids in the peptide sequence and its modified form require the presence of all of the 39 amino acids for the peptide to show biological activity (col. 3, lines 26+). Therefore, use for truncated peptides would have to be evidenced.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Serial Number: 09/276,868

Art Unit: 1653

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1-10 are indefinite as to how < 7, for example, becomes selectively altered. Additionally, in claims 9 and 10, the claims are indefinite as to how the degradation of I B or HIF-1 is selectively inhibited.

REJECTION - 35 USC 102 (b)/103 (a)

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to

Page 5

Serial Number: 09/276,868

Art Unit: 1653

the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103© and potential 35

U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative,

under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over US Patent No. 5,654,273 to Gallo et al.

The document discloses that a method for treating angiogenesis by using PR-39 is known

in the art. See the entire document, especially abstract and examples. Because the claims are

drawn to a method of using a known peptide for treating a condition taught by the art, regardless

of the mechanism of action, the claims are inherently anticipated and/or rendered obvious by the

art.

No claim is allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to F.T. Moezie at

telephone number (703) 305-4508 or Mr. LOW (SPE) at 308-2923.

J.J. Moezie